



# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/223,516	12/30/1998	DENNIS M. O'CONNOR	INTL-0134-US 1486		
21906	7590 01/11/2006		EXAMINER		
TROP PRUNER & HU, PC			NGUYEN, HUY THANH		
8554 KATY I SUITE 100	FREEWAY		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	HOUSTON, TX 77024			2616	
			DATE MAILED: 01/11/2006	6	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/223,516	O'CONNOR ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	HUY T. NGUYEN	2616
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period willow to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
<ul> <li>1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 Oc</li> <li>2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This</li> <li>3) Since this application is in condition for allowan closed in accordance with the practice under E.</li> </ul>	action is non-final. ce except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 45-50 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 45-50 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers	n from consideration. election requirement.	
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	epted or b) objected to by the lad by the lad by the lad on by the lad in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of</li> </ul>	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4)  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5)  Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	

Application/Control Number: 09/223,516 Page 2

Art Unit: 2616

### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 20 October 2005 has been entered.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 3. Claims 45,47 and 48 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hibi et al (5,546,191).

Regarding claim 45, Hibi discloses a receiver (Fig. 19) comprising:

Application/Control Number: 09/223,516

Art Unit: 2616

a first device (tuner) to receive a broadcast television program;

a second device (97) coupled to said first device to detect a characteristic of said program (column 28, lines 1-20); and

third device (recorder) to record a portion of said program in response to the detection of said characteristic (column 28, lines 1-200.

Regarding claim 47, Hibi further teaches said receiver is a computer system since the receiver having capacity of detect comparing, controlling and gathering portions of program and program (Fig. 16). (column 5, lines 15-21).

Regarding claim 48, Hibi further teaches means for concatenating a series of recorded replays (Fig. 16).

4. Claims 45-46 and 48-50 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Yamamura (5,784,522).

Regarding claim 45, Yamamura discloses a receiver (Fig. 6, column 7, lines 1-35) comprising:

a first device (31) to receive a broadcast television program (column 1, lines 2-30);

a second device (34) coupled to said first device to detect a characteristic of said program (start and stop signals); and

third device (33) to record a portion of said program in response to the detection of said characteristic.

Application/Control Number: 09/223,516

Art Unit: 2616

Regarding claim 46, Yamamura further teaches the second device to detect a queue encoded with the program (column 8, column 10).

Regarding claim 47, Yamamura further teaches said receiver is a computer system since the receiver having capacity of receiving, detecting, comparing, recording and transmitting the received portion of the program.

Regarding claim 49, Yamamura further teaches said second device to detect a signal indicating that recording should start and another signal indicating that recording should end (recording Start/Stop signals )(Fig. 6).

Regarding claim 50, Yamamura further teaches said first device to receive a signal including a queue to indicate the start of recording by said video recorder (Fig. 6).

5. Claims 45-46 and 48-50 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Heo et al. (DE 19737889), (US 2002/0176689 A1 is a family member of (DE 19737889 and is used as English translation).

Regarding claim 45, Heo discloses a receiver (US 2002/0176689 A1 (Fig. 2), page 1 section 0021, page 2, sections 0023 –0035) comprising:

a first device (ANT and tuner) to receive a broadcast television program;

a second device (206) coupled to said first device to detect a characteristic of said program (highlight portion); and

third device (recorder) to record a portion of said program in response to the detection of said characteristic.

Regarding claim 46, Heo further teaches the second device to detect a queue encoded with the program (US 2002/0176689 A1, Fig. 4).

Regarding claim 49, Heo further teaches said second device to detect a signal indicating that recording should start and another signal indicating that recording should end (US 2002/0176689 A1, Fig. 6 and 8).

Regarding claim 50, Heo further teaches said first device to receive a signal including a queue to indicate the start of recording by said video recorder (US 2002/0176689 A1, Fig. 4).

Applicant agues that the parent application discloses three elements recited in claim 45 of the present application. Examiner disagrees. It is noted that the parent application does not disclose the elements for detecting the characteristic in the received broadcast program and for recording a portion of the program based in the detected characteristic that are being recited in claim 45 of the present application.

6. Claims 45-46 and 48-50 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Nielsen et al (5,778,137).

Regarding claim 45, Nielsen discloses a receiver comprising a first device for receiving a broadcast program; a second device (109)) coupled to said first device to detect a characteristic of said program (highlight portion) (reference level) and

a video recorder (113) to record as a replay a portion of said program in response to the detection of said characteristic (column 1, lines 50-65, column 2, lines 30-36, column 3, lines 1-41, column 4, lines 5-20).

Regarding claim 46, Nielsen further teaches the second device to detect a queue encoded with the program (column 3, lines 5-20).

Regarding claim 47, Nielsen further teaches said receiver is a computer system. (column 5, lines 15-21).

Regarding claim 49, Nielsen further teaches said second device to detect a signal indicating that recording should start and another signal indicating that recording should end (Column 3, lines 8-20, column 4, lines 40-50) ..

Regarding claim 50, Nielsen further teaches said first device to receive a signal including a queue to indicate the start of recording by said video recorder (column 3 lines 8-20, column 4, line 40-50)).

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to

Application/Control Number: 09/223,516

Art Unit: 2616

consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

8. Claim 48 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nielsen in view of Ottesen et al (5,721,878).

Regarding claim 48, Nielsen further teaches that the receiver can record a plurality of relays (column 2, lines 1-10) but fails to teaches means for concatenating.

Ottesen teaches said receiver to automatically concatenate a series of recorded replays (column 14, lines 25-35, Figs. 8 and 9).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary sill in the art to modify Nielsen with Ottesen by provide the receiver of Nielsen with a concatenating means as taught by Ottesen for enhancing the capacity of the apparatus of Nielsen in concatenating the recoded relay.

9. Claim 48 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over all Heo (DE 19737889), (US 2002/0176689 A1 is a family member of (DE 19737889) and is used as English translation in view of Lee et al (6,310,839).

Regarding claim 48, Heo fails to teach means for concatenating the recorded replay.

Lee teaches a receiver to automatically concatenate a series of recorded replays (Fig. 7).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary sill in the art to modify Heo with Lee by provide the receiver of with a concatenating means as taught by Lee for enhancing the capacity of the apparatus of Heo in concatenating the recoded relay.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to HUY T. NGUYEN whose telephone number is (571) 272-7378. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30AM -6:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Groody can be reached on (571) 272-7950. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

H.N